

Freud argued that the goal sought by all life, is death. The paradox of death is that death is the worst evil that most frightens man, and the evil reveals an exit to eternal life, or one of the outputs. Our life is filled with such paradoxes. Bad infinity of life would make man a finite being.

The concept of death is different from other concepts. As a rule, there is an object and the concept of that object. For example, there exists a horse and the concept of a horse. However, the concept of death is absolutely without any object whatsoever. Thinking about the prospect of one's own death is a constant meditation upon our own ignorance. There is no method for getting to know death better, because death cannot be known at all.

Discussing this topic, we experience the instinctive fear of death and feel like avoiding death in our thoughts and actions. However, if we do not think of our own fears, the concept could be seen interesting from a more detached point of view.

Life becomes meaningful because it is freely and knowingly absolute and Supreme good, which is eternal life, life-giving human life, the eternal basis and the true conclusion, and there is however absolute truth, the light of reason, penetrating and illuminating human life. Our life is conceptualized as it is a reasonable path to the goal, or the path to a reasonable, higher purpose, otherwise it is mindless wandering. But this is the true path for our lives can be only that however there's very and life, and Truth.

Of course, philosophy cannot give people a clear answer to the question about the meaning of the eternity of existence, but it is only one that contributes to personal critical reflection of the life. It helps people to find meaning in their life and strengthen the will to live for the future.

*Scientific supervisor: Kadnikova L.V.,
Associate Professor NAU*

UDC 14:17.022:004.8 (043.2)

Maksimov K.R.

National Aviation University, Kyiv

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: MORAL ASPECT

The development of science, the growth of social consciousness and communication are directly connected with the penetration in our life of new technologies. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its development has long been a part of many disputes and arguments. Man inherently seeks to develop and over time, we come to the fact that technologies do not only help us to promote science, but also facilitate our life. For this purpose, people increasingly start using the AI.

The introduction of AI into our lives and work, greatly facilitates our work and helps to achieve great results. At the same time, the widespread use of AI in

cases where the man could handle himself, begs the question “Why do we need a man?” Because increasingly, we realize that the manifestation of emotions, individual characteristics of each can have a negative impact on the performance of a task, and it is easier to use AI.

Cybernetization is possible for the vast kinds of human activities, partly untouched at the moment is only art. All other sectors of our activity, it can be done by AI and we can get only benefits, such as simplicity, reliability and cheapness in the case of wide distribution. In this case, the question is the feasibility of human being. Will we reap the rewards of our development or will be replaced by more advanced species it is a main question in the discussion of whole automation in the future?

Thus, man can lead himself to the fact that, having the opportunity to create a more reliable organ, it will produce replacement having the advantage that will provide new opportunities and give impetus to development.

But can we considered a man, the half-automated body, will he be able to be yourself and do not expel, over time, developed technical part of the body weaker and not adapted organic? This problem faces us now. After all, we are on the threshold of the beginning of the implementation of AI in our daily life. Today, AI serves in the role of assistants and consultants, helping to simplify our mental work.

Artificial intelligence is becoming a source of some previously unknown problems. Among them are the next:

- the number of unemployed people can increase;
- the amount of free time available to the people can reduce (or increase);
- people can lose their own uniqueness;
- people can lose some of their rights to privacy;
- the using of artificial intelligence systems can lead to the fact that people will be more irresponsible;
- and as a result, AI Success can be the beginning of the end of the human race.

Ray Kurzweil in his book “The Age of Spiritual Machines” predicts that by the end of 2099 “a strong trend toward a merger of human thinking with the world of machine intelligence. There is no longer a clear distinction between humans and computers. Most conscious entities no longer have a permanent physical presence. The number of soft-ware based humans vastly exceeds those still using native neuron-cell based computation. Life expectancy is no longer a viable term in relation to intelligent beings”.

Thus, the restriction of the using AI by areas that are required this, such as science, research, modeling, forecasting etc., can be the way to resolve this moral conflict. This way we can achieve the impetus in the development of mankind and thereby to be human. The scientists who work in the field of AI creation should remember that they have a moral responsibility before the mankind for the negative effects.

*Scientific supervisor: Poda T.A.,
PhD, Associate Professor*