

4<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Radioecology & **Environmental Radioactivity** 



3-8 September 2017 | Berlin

# **Abstracts Book**















ISBN 978-2-9545237-7-4

© 2017, IRSN. All rights reserved

# Scientific Committee:

Nick BERESFORD	Natural Environment Research Council Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (NERC-CEH), UK
Laureline FEVRIER	Institut de Radioprotection et de Sureté Nucléaire (IRSN), France
Jacqueline GARNIER-LAPLACE	Institut de Radioprotection et de Sureté Nucléaire (IRSN), France European Radioecology Alliance (ALLIANCE)
Stanislas GERAS'KIN	Russian Institute of Agricultural Radiology and Agroecology (RIARAE), Russia
Kathy HIGLEY	Oregon State University, US
Gillian HIRTH	Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA), Australia
Tarja IKAHEIMONEN	Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (STUK), Finland
Sanjay Kumar JHA	Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC), India
Valeriy KASHPAROV	National University of Life and Environment Sciences of Ukraine (NUBiP), Ukraine
Ted LAZO	Organisation for Economic Co-Operation & Development / Nuclear Energy Agency (OECD/NEA)
Boguslaw MICHALIK	Gtówny Instytut Górníctwa (GIG), Poland
Wolfgang RASKOB	European Platform on preparedness for nuclear and radiological emergency response and recovery (NERIS)

# Scientific Committee:

Nick BERESFORD	Natural Environment Research Council Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (NERC-CEH), UK
Laureline FEVRIER	Institut de Radioprotection et de Sureté Nucléaire (IRSN), France
Jacqueline GARNIER-LAPLACE	Institut de Radioprotection et de Sureté Nucléaire (IRSN), France European Radioecology Alliance (ALLIANCE)
Stanislas GERAS'KIN	Russian Institute of Agricultural Radiology and Agroecology (RIARAE), Russia
Kathy HIGLEY	Oregon State University, US
Gillian HIRTH	Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA), Australia
Tarja IKAHEIMONEN	Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (STUK), Finland
Sanjay Kumar JHA	Bhabha Atomic Research Centre (BARC), India
Valeriy KASHPAROV	National University of Life and Environment Sciences of Ukraine (NUBiP), Ukraine
Ted LAZO	Organisation for Economic Co-Operation & Development / Nuclear Energy Agency (OECD/NEA)
Boguslaw MICHALIK	Gtówny Instytut Górnictwa (GIG), Poland
Wolfgang RASKOB	European Platform on preparedness for nuclear and radiological emergency response and recovery (NERIS)

Almudena REAL	Centro de Investigationes Energeticas, Medioambiental Y tecnologicas (CIEMAT), Spain European Radioecology Alliance (ALLIANCE)
Werner RÜHM	The European Radiation Dosimetry Group (EURADOS)
Sisko SALOMAA	European Plateform on low dose radiation risk research (MELODI)
Steve SHEPPARD	Journal of the Environmental Radioactivity
Martin STEINER	Federal Office for Radiation Protection (BfS), Germany  Norwegian Radiation Protection Authority (NRPA), Norway
Per STRAND	International Union of Radioecology (IUR) European Radioecology Alliance (ALLIANCE)
Masonori TAMAOKI	National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Japan
Hildegarde VANDENHOVE	Belgium Nuclear Research Centre (SCK • CEN), Belgium European Radioecology Alliance (ALLIANCE)
Tamara YANKOVICH	International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)
Peter YU	City University of Hong-Kong, Hong-Kong

# Organising Commitee

International:

Laureline

FEVRIER

Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN)

National:

Thuro

ARNOLD

Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)

Wolfgang

RASKOB

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

Susanne

SACHS

Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf (HZDR)

Martin

STEINER

Federal Office for Radiation Protection (BfS)

Jochen

**TSCHIERSCH** 

Helmholtz Zentrum München (HMGU)

Clemens

WALTHER

Leibniz Universität Hannover

## Sessions:

- Session 1 Radiation risk at nuclear legacy sites: assessment, remediation and regulation
- G. Hirth (ARPANSA), T. Yankovich (IAEA)
- Session 2 NORM and TENORM with respect to long-term human and environmental protection
- B. Michalik (GIG), H. Vandenhove (SCK CEN)
- Session 3 Fukushima- and Chernobyl-driven evolution of post-accident environmental recovery preparedness and management
- W. Raskob (NERIS platform), M. Tamaoki (NIES)
- Session 4 Evolving issues in the emerging nuclear landscape: fusion, Gen 4 reactors, SMRs, dismantling, radioactive waste management and consideration of environmental impacts
- T. Lazo (OECD-NEA), S. Sheppard (Journal of Environmental Radioactivity Editor)
- Session 5 Application of novel methods used for monitoring and radioecological studies
- T. Ikaheimonen (STUK), W. Rühm (EURADOS platform)
- Session 6 Advances in radioecological modelling approaches to support regulation and underpinning databases
- N. Beresford (NERC-CEH), V. Kashparov (NUBiP)
- Session 7 Dynamics and distribution of radionuclides in the environment and underlying processes
- M. Steiner (BfS), A. Real (CIEMAT)
- Session 8 Mechanistic understanding of the effects induced by ionising radiation in nonhuman species alone or in combination with other stressors
- S. Geras'kin (RIARAE), S. Salomaa (MELODI platform), P. Yu (City University of Kong-Kong), M. Tamaoki (NIES)
- Session 9 Dissemination of scientific information to the public and risk communication
- S. K. Jha (BARC), P. Yu (City University of Hong-Kong), K. Higley (Oregon State University)

## P6-15

Evaluation of distribution in the landscape of radionuclides and other pollutants using analytical GIS technology

## Y. Kutlakhmedov 1, I. Matveeva 2,

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Cell Biology and Genetic Engineering of National Academy of Sciences - Kyiv (Ukraine), <sup>2</sup>Institute of Ecological Safety of the National Aviation University - Kyiv (Ukraine)

\*Corresponding authors, email addresses: ecoetic@yandex.ru (Y.Kutlakhmedov), ecoetic@yandex.ru (I.Matveeva)

#### Introduction

To assess the state of ecosystems and the welfare of using 30 different indicators and parameters: From a variety of indicator species to biomass, etc. An important feature of these indicators is the fact that almost all of them begin to change substantially only when Biota shows significant changes. From a practical point of view it is important to have indicators and parameters, which would allow a leading way to assess the state of ecosystems and biotic features of the distribution and redistribution of pollutants in real landscapes. On the basis of experimental research and theoretical analysis proposed to use such a measure as radiocapacity and / or factor radiocapacity ecosystems and its components. It developed and applied analytical method GIS technology to establish the distribution and redistribution of radionuclides - tracer (137Cs) in real landscapes. It is shown that the dynamics of migration of radionuclide-tracer in real landscapes and ecosystems is correlated with the behavior of other pollutants (heavy metals, for example). With the help of an analytical GIS technology can estimate radiation exposure and environmental risks on biota, communities and staff in the area of influence of nuclear technology.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Analysis of the behavior of pollutants in the slope ecosystems, which form the basis of almost any terrestrial landscape of Ukraine, showed the ability to describe the distribution and redistribution of radionuclides methods radiocapacity theory using boxes models. Studies have shown that the rate of movement of radionuclides in the landscape is mainly determined by: the steepness of the slope (P1), type of coverage (P2), the density of plantings in the landscape (P3), vertical (P4) and horizontal (P5) migration. Methods rank evaluation assessed the likely impact of these indicators on landscape redistribution of radionuclides. Each of the indicators measured in the range from 0 to 1. By virtue of the practical independence of the indicators of the landscape, the overall assessment of the likelihood of migration of radionuclides on the elements of the landscape is defined as the probability and is calculated as follows:

• 
$$P = P1 P2 P3 P4 P5$$
. (1)

Particular problems are real landscapes, when evaluating radiocapacity parameters relate to large areas, which are factors of the system that affect the redistribution of radionuclides biotic and abiotic components of ecosystems.

### **Results and Discussion**

As a result, we obtained estimates and forecast maps for the selected polygon (reserve "Foresters" in Koncha Zaspa near Kiev on the banks of the Dnieper River). Using the parameters that affect the redistribution of radionuclides in the terrain maps of the original uniform <sup>137</sup>Cs contamination of the landscape, and a map of redistribution of radionuclides on the basis of parameters, 10 years after the accident. It was found that the expected significant redistribution of pollutant in the studied landscape.

This process is enhanced through the evaluation of 20 years and 30 years after the Chernobyl's accident forecast map shows the sharply marked concentration of radionuclides in the areas of landscape reduction, which in this range actually relates to the swamp.

#### **Conclusions**

In addition to evaluation and prediction maps we have developed a technique that makes it possible to carry out the reconstruction of the territory of the contamination process, radiation exposure, environmental risks, as well as on the results of point measurements obtained in the field, to realize the extrapolation of pollution indicators at the whole area of research and in their temporal dynamics. It is shown that using Chernobyl fallout <sup>137</sup>Cs, both tracers may establish the fundamental characteristics of landscapes for their ability to allocate and reallocate pollutants. This method can be successfully used for the distribution of forecast and other pollutants (for example heavy metals). This makes it possible to develop and offer specific techniques and methods of remediation of contaminated components of ecosystems in the areas of deposit of pollutants in order to minimize environmental risks.